

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,637	37 09/15/2003		Eadaoin Ledwidge	ICC-279	8008	
31217	7590	11/07/2006		EXAMINER		
LOCTITE			SELLERS, ROBERT E			
ROCKY HI		K CROSSING 06067		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,			1712		
			•	DATE MAILED: 11/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/661,637	LEDWIDGE, EADAC	OIN
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert Sellers	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mugged date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl ust be filed within one in the final rejection, who g date of the final rejection.	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropring inally set in the final Office.	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in ber appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying t	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co:		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21-23 and 25.		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: ____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See the attachment.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Robert Sellers Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1712 Application/Control Number: 10/661,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. The amendment after Final rejection mailed October 31, 2006 has been denied entry because the newly limited opacifying component (iii) to a lactone containing an aromatic ring fused to the lactone ring presents a new issue since it did not previously appear in any of the other claims and requires a further consideration of the species of color formers in the applied prior art. Furthermore, it is unclear whether the new structure encompasses the species of CER (CAT002, UCB) elected in the response filed April 13, 2006 (page 11, line 11) since the chemical formula, name and/or structure of the name cannot be ascertained.

- 2. The amendments to pages 18 and 19 of the specification would have resolved the proper identification of the cycloaliphatic resin raised in the Final rejection mailed September 1, 2006 (page 3, paragraph 3) had the amendment been entered. The denotation of the cure through volume to from at least 600-800 nm as supported on page 6, lines 14-15 and the deletion of the term "sufficient" used to qualify it would have addressed the 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs rejections set forth on page 3, paragraph 4 and page 4, paragraph 5 of the Final rejection had the amendment been entered.
- 3. Montgomery et al. Publication No. 2003/013487 (page 9, Example 1, Composition C), PCT Publication No. WO 03/46042 (page 11, lines 27-28) and Japanese Patent Nos. 4-45125 and 60-72961 (CAPLUS abstracts, registry no. 1552-42-7) disclose crystal violet lactone deemed to be a suitable species of opacifying component on page 11, lines 10-12). Reardon, Jr. (col. 3, line 1 to col. 4, line 2) depicts a fluoran compound containing a phenyl group fused to a lactone.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,637 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

Ren Patent No. 5,942,554 (col. 4, lines 4-24) lists the same species of lactones with fused aromatic rings as that described on page 11, lines 10-22. Grinevich et al. Patent No. 6,309797 (col. 3, line 36 to col. 4, line 31) lists species of non-lactone color formers. Japanese Patent No. 1-16802 (CAPLUS abstract, registry no. 50292-95-0) employs a species of fused aromatic ring-containing lactone espoused on page 11, lines 21-22 of the specification.

- 4. The claims are extremely broad and embrace any combination of a UV curable component, a UV curing initiator and an opacifiying component possessing a first transparent color and a second opaque color, each of which is disclosed in each individual applied reference mentioned in the previous paragraph. It is immaterial whether the species of color formers reported in the patents are labeled the same as the claimed opacifying component since species specifically deemed to be suitable as opacifying components are identified therein.
- 5. The claimed cure through volume limitation is only applicable upon the application of the composition on a part, which is not a required feature. Based on the equivalent materials of a UV curable component such as a urethane acrylate (Montgomery et al.) or an epoxy compound (the remained of the applied references), a UV curing initiator and color formers specifically listed in the specification, the prior art coating formulations would inherently exhibit the claim cure through volume when applied to a part.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,637 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

There is no evidence of record establishing the lack of the claimed cure through volume upon applications of the closest prior art compositions of Montgomery et al., the PCT publication, Reardon, Jr., Grinevich et al., Ren et al. and the Japanese patents to a part.

6. Grinevich et al., Ren and the Japanese patents each individually recite the claimed mixture of UV curable component of an epoxy compound, a photointiator and a lactone with a fused aromatic ring.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Sellers
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712